

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. KCX-330 (14715) 10/09/2001 Patricia A. Annable 5781 09/973,666 EXAMINER 22827 7590 01/12/2004 DORITY & MANNING, P.A. TENTONI, LEO B **POST OFFICE BOX 1449** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449 1732

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

San Si		<u> </u>	^
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	_	09/973,666	ANNABLE, PATRICIA A.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Leo B. Tentoni	1732
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
THE - Extended after - If the series of the	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reconsider of the second	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)∏	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□		s action is non-final.	
3)			
Disposi	tion of Claims	·	
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,15-18 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>10-14,19-21 and 29-32</u> is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9)[\]	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
.10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic onty documents have been rece	ation No
13)⊠	See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language page 1.	st of the certified copies not rece stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 irst sentence of the specification	9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the sentence of th	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachme	nt(s)		
1) 🔲 Noti 2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/973,666

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1732, Examiner Leo Tentoni.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, ``is provided'' is a phrase which can be implied and should not be used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: PROCESS OF MAKING MICROCREPED WIPERS.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,666

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 22, 24-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ostermeier (U.S. Patent 3,949,128).

Ostermeier (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 12-35; col. 5, line 66 to col. 7, line 20) teaches a process of microcreping a nonwoven web containing melt-spun fibers as set forth in the instant claims.

7. Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 15-18, 22, 24-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scholz (U.S. Patent 5,498,232).

Scholz (see the entire document, in particular, col. 4, line 26 to col. 9, line 6) teaches a process of microcreping a nonwoven web containing melt-spun fibers as set forth in the instant claims.

8. Claims 1-8 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watts (WO 99/20822).

Watts (see the entire document, in particular, pages 3, 4, 7 and 9-15) teaches a process of microcreping a nonwoven web containing melt-spun fibers as set forth in the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1732

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10-14, 19-21 and 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt